[European Journal of Combinatorics 36 \(2014\) 151–158](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2013.05.030)

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ejc)

European Journal of Combinatorics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ejc

On the co-degree threshold for the Fano plane

European Journal
of Combinatorics

Louis DeBiasio, Tao Jiang 1

Department of Mathematics, Miami University, Oxford, OH 45056, USA

a r t i c l e i n f o

Article history: Received 7 March 2012 Accepted 17 May 2013 Available online 22 August 2013

a b s t r a c t

Given a 3-graph *H*, let $ex_2(n, H)$ denote the maximum value of the minimum co-degree of a 3-graph on *n* vertices which does not contain a copy of *H*. Let **F** denote the Fano plane, which is the 3-graph {*axx*′ , *ayy*′ , *azz*′ , *xyz*′ , *xy*′ *z*, *x* ′ *yz*, *x* ′ *y* ′ *z* ′ }. Mubayi (2005) [\[14\]](#page-7-0) proved that $ex_2(n, \mathbf{F}) = (1/2 + o(1))n$ and conjectured that $ex_2(n, \mathbf{F}) =$ | $n/2$ | for sufficiently large *n*. Using a very sophisticated quasirandomness argument, Keevash (2009) [\[7\]](#page-7-1) proved Mubayi's conjecture. Here we give a simple proof of Mubayi's conjecture by using a class of 3-graphs that we call rings. We also determine the Turán density of the family of rings.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

For a family H of *k*-graphs, let $ex(n, H)$ denote the maximum number of edges in an *n*-vertex *k*-graph which contains no member of H . Determining ex(*n*, H) is a fundamental question in graph theory which becomes extremely difficult when $k \geq 3$. Let $\pi(\mathcal{H}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\exp(-\pi/4)}{\binom{n}{k}}$ and call this value the *Turán density* of H (as has been pointed out many times, it is easy to show that this limit exists). When $\mathcal H$ consists of a single graph H , we write π (H) for π ($\mathcal H$). Let K_4^3 denote the complete 3-graph on four vertices. Over 70 years ago, Turán [\[18\]](#page-7-2) famously conjectured that π (K_4^3) = $\frac{5}{9}$, but this conjecture 9 is still unproved. In fact, when *k* ≥ 3 there are very few *k*-graphs for which the Turán density is known (see [\[8\]](#page-7-3) for a detailed account). Despite this general difficulty, there is a special 3-graph called the *Fano plane* for which much is known.

The Fano plane, denoted **F**, is the projective geometry of dimension 2 over the field with 2 elements; alternatively, **F** is the 3-graph on seven vertices $\{a, x, y, z, x', y', z'\}$ with the seven edges {*axx'*, *ayy'*, *azz'*, *xyz'*, *xy'z*, *x'yz*, *x'y[']z'*}. Let *B*(*n*) denote the balanced complete bipartite 3-graph, which is obtained by partitioning a set of *n* vertices into parts of size $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ and $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ and taking

E-mail addresses: debiasld@miamioh.edu (L. DeBiasio), jiangt@miamioh.edu (T. Jiang).

 1 Tel.: +1 513 529 3422; fax: +1 529 1493.

^{0195-6698/\$ –} see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2013.05.030>

as edges all the triples intersecting both parts. Since *B*(*n*) is 2-colorable and it is easy to see that **F** is not, $B(n)$ contains no copy of **F**. Therefore, $ex(n, \mathbf{F}) \geq e(B(n)) = \binom{n}{3} - \binom{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}{3} - \binom{\lceil n/2 \rceil}{3}$. Sós [\[17\]](#page-7-4) conjectured that this lower bound is asymptotically best possible and hence $\pi({\bf F})=\frac{3}{4}.$ A few decades 4 later, de Caen and Füredi [\[4\]](#page-7-5) proved Sós' conjecture via a clever use of so-called link graphs. A few years later, Keevash and Sudakov [\[10\]](#page-7-6) and independently Füredi and Simonovits [\[6\]](#page-7-7) proved the exact counterpart of this result; that is, $ex(n, \mathbf{F}) = \binom{n}{3} - \binom{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}{3} - \binom{\lceil n/2 \rceil}{3}$ for sufficiently large *n*.

Let *G* be a *k-graph with vertex set V*. Given any subset $U \subseteq V, |\dot{U}| \leq k$, the *degree* of U , denoted by *d*(*U*), is the number of edges of *G* that contain *U*. For simplicity, when *U* consists of one vertex *x* or two vertices *x* and *y*, we write $d(x)$, and $d(x, y)$ instead of $d({x, y})$ and $d({x, y})$, respectively. When $k = 3$, we call $d(x, y)$ the *co-degree* of *x* and *y*, while the set of vertices *z* such that $xyz \in E(G)$ is called the *co-neighborhood* of *x*, *y* and will be denoted by $N(x, y)$. For each integer $0 \le \ell \le k$, let $\delta_{\ell}(G) = \min\{d(U) : U \subseteq V, |U| = \ell\}$. We call $\delta_{\ell}(G)$ the minimum ℓ -degree of *G*. For a family *H* of *k*-graphs, let ex_ℓ(n , H) denote the maximum value of $\delta_\ell(G)$ in an *n* vertex *k*-graph *G* which contains no member of $\mathcal H$ and let $\pi_\ell(\mathcal H)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\mathop{\rm ex}\nolimits_\ell(n,\mathcal H)}{{n-\ell\choose k-\ell}}.$ Mubayi and Zhao [\[16\]](#page-7-8) prove that this limit exists

in the case $\ell = k - 1$ and Lo and Markström [\[12\]](#page-7-9) prove that this limit exists for all $0 \le \ell \le k - 1$ (a fact previously sketched by Keevash [\[7\]](#page-7-1)). Note that the case $\ell = 0$ just reduces to $\pi(\mathcal{H})$. When $k = 3$, we call $\pi_2(\mathcal{H})$ the *co-degree density* of \mathcal{H} . For general *k*-graphs, a simple averaging argument shows that $\pi_i(\mathcal{H}) \ge \pi_i(\mathcal{H})$ when $i \le j$ (see [\[8,](#page-7-3) Section 13.2]). It is also pointed out in [8, Section 13.2] that for any graph $H, \pi_1(H) = \pi(H)$. The same argument applies to any finite family $\mathcal H$ as well.

Proposition 1.1. *For a finite family* \mathcal{H} *of k-graphs,* $\pi_1(\mathcal{H}) = \pi(\mathcal{H})$ *.*

Proof (*Sketch*). Let $a = \pi_1(\mathcal{H})$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be any small positive real. Let *n* be sufficiently large as a function of ε . Let G be a k -graph with $e(G) > (a+\varepsilon)\binom{n}{k}.$ By [\[8,](#page-7-3) Proposition 4.2], G contains a subgraph *k G*' on $m = \Omega(n)$ vertices with $\delta_1(G') \ge (a + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) {m-1 \choose k-1}$. Since $\pi_1(\mathcal{H}) = a$ and $m \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$, when *n* is large enough, we have $\delta_1(G') > \text{ex}_1(\hat{\mathcal{H}})$. So G' contains a member of $\mathcal H$ and therefore G contains a member of H . \square

So the minimum degree problem is essentially the same as the Turán problem. The minimum co-degree problem however is drastically different. For instance, there are 3-graphs *H* with π (*H*) arbitrarily close to 1 and yet $\pi_2(H) = 0$ (see [\[16\]](#page-7-8)). In general, there has not been a very good understanding of the relationship between $\pi(H)$ and $\pi₂(H)$ (see [\[16](#page-7-8)[,12\]](#page-7-9) for detailed discussions). Similar to the situation with the Turán density, not much is known about $\pi_2(H)$ even for small graphs *H* such as K_4^3 (in this case Czygrinow and Nagle [\[3\]](#page-7-10) conjectured that $\pi_2(K_4^3) = \frac{1}{2}$). Mubayi [\[14\]](#page-7-0) initiated the study of $ex_2(n, F)$, where **F** is the Fano plane. As pointed out earlier, $B(n)$ contains no copy of **F**. So, $\exp(n, \mathbf{F}) > \delta_2(B(n)) = |n/2|$.

Mubayi [\[14\]](#page-7-0) proved an asymptotically matching upper bound thus establishing $\pi_2(\mathbf{F}) = \frac{1}{2}$. He further conjectured that ex $_2(n,\mathbf{F})=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$, for sufficiently large *n*. This was later proved by Keevash [\[7\]](#page-7-1) using a very sophisticated argument involving hypergraph regularity, quasi-randomness, and stability (We should mention that Keevash proves the stronger statement that the extremal example is ''stable''. Also, the scope of Keevash's paper is not limited to the problem of determining the co-degree threshold for the Fano plane.). In this paper, we give a simple proof of Mubayi's conjecture which is in the same spirit as Mubayi's original proof of $\pi_2(\mathbf{F}) = \frac{1}{2}$. Our main result is

Theorem 1.2. *There exists n*₀ *such that if* $n \ge n_0$ *, then* $\text{ex}_2(n, \mathbf{F}) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$ *.*

Since we are giving a new proof of an old result, it is worth mentioning that we only need n_0 to be large enough so that ''supersaturation'' holds (see Section [2\)](#page-2-0). While we do not make an attempt to compute the value of n_0 , it is considerably smaller than the value of n_0 needed for the use of regularity in [\[7\]](#page-7-1).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section [2](#page-2-0) we give some lemmas and introduce a family of 3 graphs called *rings*. In Section [3](#page-3-0) we prove [Theorem 1.2](#page-1-0) by making use of the family of rings. In Section [4](#page-4-0) we determine the Turán density of the family of rings. Finally, in Section [5,](#page-6-0) we conclude with some remarks and open problems.

2. Lemmas

For any *k*-graph *G*, the *s*-*blowup* of *G*, denoted *G*(*s*), is the graph obtained from *G* by cloning each vertex *s* times. For a family of *k*-graphs \mathcal{H} , let $\mathcal{H}(s) = \{H(s) : H \in \mathcal{H}\}\$. Erdős [\[5\]](#page-7-11) used supersaturation to show

Lemma 2.1 ([\[5\]](#page-7-11)). For any finite family of k-graphs $\mathcal H$ and any positive integer s, $\pi(\mathcal H) = \pi(\mathcal H(s))$.

Keevash and Zhao [\[11\]](#page-7-12) proved an analogous result for the co-degree density.

Lemma 2.2. *For any finite family of k-graphs H and any positive integer s,* $\pi_2(\mathcal{H}) = \pi_2(\mathcal{H}(s))$ *.*

The same supersaturation argument in fact gives

Lemma 2.3. *For any finite family of k-graphs* $\mathcal H$ *and any positive integer s, and any j,* $0 \le j \le k - 1$ *,* $\pi_i(\mathcal{H}) = \pi_i(\mathcal{H}(s)).$

We also make the following trivial observation based on the definitions.

Proposition 2.4. *Let* \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{G} *be two families of k-graphs. Let* $j \in \{0, \ldots, k-1\}$ *. Suppose that for every member G* \in G_{*i*}, some subgraph of G belongs to H. Then ex_i(*n*, H) \leq ex_i(*n*, G_i). So, in particular, $\pi_i(\mathcal{H}) \leq \pi_i(\mathcal{G})$.

We now define a family of 3-graphs, called *rings*, which will play a central role in our proof of [Theorem 1.2.](#page-1-0)

Definition 2.5. Let $t \geq 2$ and let *V* be a set of at most 2*t* vertices surjectively labeled with x_0, y_0 , *x*₁, *y*₁, , *x*_{*t*}−1, Let \mathcal{R}_t^* be the family of 3-graphs on *V* with edge set $\bigcup_{i=0}^{t-1} \{x_i, y_i, x_{i+1}\}$ ∪ $\{x_i, y_i, y_{i+1}\}$, where addition is defined modulo *t*. Let R_t be the (unique) member of \mathcal{R}_t^* which has exactly 2t vertices and call R_t a ring on 2t vertices. Let $\mathcal{R}^*_{\leq t} = \bigcup_{i=2}^t \mathcal{R}^*_i$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\leq t} = \{R_2, R_3, \ldots, R_t\}$.

Lemma 2.6. For all positive integers $t \geq 2$ and $0 \leq j \leq 2$, we have $\pi_j(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t}) = \pi_j(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t}^*)$ and $\pi_j(R_t) = \pi_j(R_t^*).$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{R}_{\leq t} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{\leq t}^*$, we have $\pi_j(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t}^*) \leq \pi_j(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t})$. On the other hand, for every $i \leq t$, $\mathcal{R}_i^*(t)$ clearly contains a copy of R_i , since in any member of $\mathcal{R}_i^*(t)$ there are *t* distinct copies of x_i , y_i . By [Proposition 2.4](#page-2-1) and [Lemma 2.2,](#page-2-2) $\pi_j(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t}) \leq \pi_j(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t}^*(t)) = \pi_j(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t}^*)$. Thus, $\pi_j(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t}) = \pi_j(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t}^*)$. By a similar argument, we have $\pi_j(R_t) = \pi_j(\bar{R}_t^*)$.

Definition 2.7. A hypergraph *H* on *l* vertices is said to have the (*l*, *m*)-*property* if every subset of *m* vertices contains at least one edge of *H*.

Mubayi and Rödl [\[15\]](#page-7-13) recursively constructed for every $t \geq 2$ a family \mathcal{F}_t of 3-graphs with the $(2t + 1, t + 2)$ -property. They showed that $\pi(\mathcal{F}_t) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ for each fixed $t \geq 2$ and used this to establish an upper bound on the Turán density of{*abc*, *ade*, *bde*, *cde*}(sometimes referred to as the 3-book with 3 pages). This family \mathcal{F}_t also played a key role in Mubayi's proof of $\pi_2(\mathbf{F}) = \frac{1}{2}$. Here, we observe that for every *t* the graph R_t has the (2*t*, *t* + 1)-property and we will also show that $\pi_2(R_{< t})$ is small. Then, by using $\mathcal{R}_{\leq t}$ instead of \mathcal{F}_t we are able to establish $\exp(n, \mathbf{F}) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$.

Lemma 2.8. R_t has the $(2t, t + 1)$ -property.

Proof. Clearly *R^t* has 2*t* vertices. Let *S* be any set of vertices in *R^t* that contains no edge. We show that $|S|$ ≤ *t*. For each $i \in I = \{0, 1, \ldots, t-1\}$, if $x_i, y_i \in S$ then $x_{i+1}, y_{i+1} \notin S$ (addition modulo *t*) otherwise we would have an edge. This implies $|S| \le t$. \Box

Next, we show that $\pi_2(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t})$ is small by using an auxiliary directed graph. First we recall some old results concerning short directed cycles in directed graphs. As usual, for a directed graph *D*, let δ⁺(D) and δ⁻(D) denote the minimum out-degree and in-degree of D respectively. Caccetta and Häggkvist [\[1\]](#page-7-14) conjectured that if *D* is a directed graph on *n* vertices with $\delta^+(D) \geq r$, then *D* contains a cycle of length at most $\lceil \frac{n}{r} \rceil$. While their conjecture remains open, Chvátal and Szemerédi [\[2\]](#page-7-15) gave a simple proof of a slightly weaker statement.

Theorem 2.9 (Chvátal–Szemerédi)**.** Let D be a directed graph on n vertices. If $\delta^+(D) \ge r$ (or $\delta^-(D) \ge r$), *then D contains a directed cycle of length at most* $\frac{2n}{r+1}$ *.*

There have been improvements on this result. However, [Theorem 2.9](#page-3-1) suffices for our purposes.

Theorem 2.10. For all $t \geq 2$ we have $\pi_2(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t}) \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{t}}$.

Proof. By [Lemma 2.6,](#page-2-3) it suffices to prove that $\pi_2(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t}^*) \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{t}}.$ Let $a = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{t}}.$ Let ϵ be a small positive real and let $b = a + \epsilon$. Let n be sufficiently large as a function of ϵ . Let $\dot G$ be a 3-graph on n vertices with $\delta_2(G) > \lceil bn \rceil$.

Let *D* be an auxiliary digraph with vertex set $\binom{V(G)}{2}$ such that $(\{u,\,v\},\,\{u',\,v'\})$ is an edge of *D* if and only if uvu' and uvv' are edges of *G* (in other words, if and only if $u', v' \in N_G(u, v)$). Let $N = \binom{n}{2}$. Then *D* has *N* vertices. For any $\{u, v\} \in V(D)$, its out-neighbors in *D* are precisely all the 2-subsets of $N_G(u, v)$ and thus (using *n* being sufficiently large)

$$
\delta^+(D) \ge \binom{\lceil bn \rceil}{2} \ge \frac{bn(bn-1)}{2} \ge \frac{a^2n^2}{2} \ge a^2 \binom{n}{2} = \frac{2N}{t}.
$$

By [Theorem 2.9,](#page-3-1) *D* contains a directed cycle *C* of length at most $\frac{2N}{2N/t+1} \leq t$. The subgraph of *G* corresponding to *C* is a member of $\mathcal{R}_{\leq t}^*$. \Box

3. The co-degree threshold for the Fano plane

Let \mathbf{F}^* be the 3-graph obtained from the complete 3-partite 3-graph with vertex set $\{x, x\}$, *y*, *y* ′ , *z*, *z* ′ } by adding the vertex *u* and the three edges *uxx*′ , *uyy*′ , *uzz*′ . Notice that **F** ⊆ **F** ∗ . We obtain [Theorem 1.2](#page-1-0) as a corollary of the following more general theorem.

Theorem 3.1. For sufficiently large n, $ex_2(n, F) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$ for all $F \subseteq F \subseteq F^*$.

Proof. In the Introduction we pointed out that *B*(*n*) gives the lower bound ex₂(*n*, **F**) $\geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$, thus it suffices to prove $\mathrm{ex}_{2}(n, \mathbf{F}^*) \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

By [Theorem 2.10](#page-3-2) and [Lemma 2.2,](#page-2-2) $\pi_2(\mathcal{R}_{\leq 9}(2)) \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} < \frac{1}{2}$. Let *n* be large enough such that $\text{ex}_{2}(n,\mathcal{R}_{\leq 9}(2))\ <\ \big\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \big\rfloor.$ Let G be a graph on n vertices with $\delta_{2}(G)\ \geq\ \big\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \big\rfloor\ +\ 1.$ Then G contains a copy *H* of $R_t(2)$ for some $t \leq 9$.

For each vertex v in R_t , let v' denote the clone of v in $R_t(2)$. For all $v \in R_t$, let $C_v = \{u \in V(G) : u \in R_v\}$ *N*(*v*, *v'*)}. Summing over all $v \in R_t$ and using the exact condition $\delta_2(G) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1$ (the only place where the exact condition is needed), gives

$$
\sum_{v\in R_t} |C_v| \ge 2t\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1\right) \ge 2t\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) > tn. \tag{1}
$$

This implies that there exists some $u^* \in V(G)$ which is contained in more than *t* different sets C_v . Therefore, by [Lemma 2.8,](#page-2-4) there are vertices $x, y, z \in R_t$ such that *xyz* is an edge in R_t with $u^* \in C_x$, $u^* \in C_y$ and $u^* \in C_z$. So in $R_t(2)$, $S := \{x, x', y, y', z, z'\}$ induces a complete 3-partite 3-graph and thus $S \cup \{u^*\} \cong F^*$ (see [Fig. 1\)](#page-4-1). □

Fig. 1. Obtaining the subgraph *F* ∗ .

4. Turán density of rings

Let R denote the family $\bigcup_{i>2} \{R_i\}$. The fact that R_t has the (2*t*, *t* + 1)-property and the family $\mathcal{R}_{\leq t}$ has small co-degree density was key to our short proof of Mubayi's conjecture. Conceivably, the family R≤*^t* can be useful elsewhere in the study of the Turán problem for 3-graphs. For instance, if R≤*^t* also has relatively small Turán density, then it could potentially be used in bounding the Turán densities of other 3-graphs, just like how \mathcal{F}_t was used by Mubayi and Rödl [\[15\]](#page-7-13). In this section, we show that similar to \mathcal{F}_t the family $\mathcal R$ also has Turán density at most $\frac{1}{2}$. In fact, we will show that the Turán density of $\mathcal R$ is exactly $\frac{1}{2}$. The family $\mathcal R$ does, however, have some advantages over $\mathcal F_t$. One, it has the $(2t, t + 1)$ -property versus \mathcal{F}_t having the $(2t + 1, t + 2)$ -property. Two, the structure of R_t is simple and explicit, while in forcing a member of \mathcal{F}_t , we do not quite know which particular structure that member has.

Next, we show that \Re has Turán density at least $\frac{1}{2}$ via a construction inspired by the "half-graph" constructions from bandwidth problems.

Example 4.1. Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}\}$. Let G_n be a 3-graph on $A \cup B$ whose edges are all the triples of the form $\{a_i, b_i, a_k\}$ and $\{a_i, b_i, b_k\}$ where $i, j < k$.

It is easy to check that $\lim_{n\to\infty}e(G_n)/\binom{n}{3}=\frac{1}{2}.$

Proposition 4.2. *For all n the graph Gⁿ given in [Example](#page-4-2)* 4.1 *contains no member of* R *and hence* $\pi(\mathcal{R}) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$

Proof. Observe first that, based on the definition of G_n , for any *i*, *j* with $i < j$, the pair $\{a_i, a_j\}$ has no co-neighbor in $\{b_i, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_{\lceil n/2 \rceil}\}\$ and the pair $\{b_i, b_j\}$ has no co-neighbor in $\{a_i, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{\lceil n/2 \rceil}\}\$. Suppose for a contradiction that *G* contains a copy *H* of R_t , for some *t*. Suppose $V(R_t) = \{x_0, y_0, x_1,$ $y_1,\ldots,x_{t-1},y_{t-1}$ and $E(R_t)=\bigcup_{i=0}^{t-1}\{x_iy_ix_{i+1},x_iy_iy_{i+1}\}\.$ For each v in R_t , let v' denote its image in G_n under a fixed isomorphism from \overline{R}_t to *H*. For any w in *A* (or *B*), let $\iota(w)$ denote its subscript in *A* (or *B*). In other words, if $w = a_{\ell}$, then $\iota(w) = \ell$. There are two cases to consider.

Case 1. For some $i \in \{0, \ldots, t-1\}$, x'_i and y'_i are in the same set.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $i = 0$ and that x'_0, y'_0 are both in *A*. Then *x*¹, *y*¹ must both be in *B*. Furthermore, by the observation we made at the beginning of this proof, $max\{i(x'_1), i(y'_1)\}$ < $max\{i(x'_0), i(y'_0)\}$. By repeating this argument, we get $max\{i(x_0), i(y_0)\}$ < $\max\{\iota(x_{t-1}), \iota(y_{t-1})\} < \cdots < \max\{\iota(x_0), \iota(y_0)\}\)$, which is a contradiction.

Case 2. For all $i \in \{0, \ldots, t-1\}$, x'_i and y'_i are in different sets.

By the symmetry of R_t , we may assume that all the x_i 's are in *A* and all the y_i 's are in *B*. Based on the observation we made at the beginning of the proof, we now must have $max\{t(x'_i), t(y'_i)\}$ < $max\{t(x'_{i+1}), t(y'_{i+1})\}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq t-1$ (with addition defined modulo *t*). This leads to a contradiction like in Case 1.

We now prove the main result of this section. This follows immediately from the following lemma. Given a 3-graph *G* and a vertex *x*, the *link graph L*(*x*) of *x* is a 2-graph whose edges are all the pairs *ab* such that $\mathsf{x}ab \in E(G)$.

Lemma 4.3. $\pi(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t}) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{t-1}$.

Proof. By [Proposition 1.1](#page-1-1) and [Lemma 2.6,](#page-2-3) it suffices to prove $\pi_1(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t}^*) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{t-1}$. Let *n* be sufficiently large as a function of *t*. Let *G* be a 3-graph on *n* vertices with $\delta_1(G) \geq (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{t-1}) {n-1 \choose 2} \geq (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{t}) {n \choose 2}.$ We prove that *G* contains a member of $\mathcal{R}_{\leq t}^*$. Create an auxiliary digraph with vertex set $\binom{V(G)}{2}$ (all 2-subsets of $V(G)$) where $(\{u,\,v\},$ $\{u',\,v'\})$ is an edge of *D* if and only if uvu' and uvv' are edges of G (in other words, if and only if uv is in the link graph of both u' and v'). Let $N = \binom{n}{2}$.

Let $\{u, v\}$ be a vertex in *D*. Since $\delta_1(G) \geq (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{t}) (\frac{n}{2})$, the link graph of *u* has at least $(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{t}) (\frac{n}{2})$ edges and the link graph of v has at least $(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{t})$ $(\frac{n}{2})$ edges. Therefore there are at least $\frac{2}{t}$ $(\frac{n}{2})$ edges in the intersection of their link graphs, which implies $\delta^-(D) \geq \frac{2}{t}N$. So we can apply [Theorem 2.9](#page-3-1) to the directed graph *D* to obtain a directed cycle *C* of length at most $\frac{2N}{\frac{2}{t}N+1} \leq t$. Notice that the directed cycle C corresponds to a subgraph of *G* which is a member of $\mathcal{R}^*_{\leq t}$. $\;\;\Box$

[Proposition 4.2](#page-4-3) and [Lemma 4.3](#page-5-0) now yield.

Theorem 4.4. $\pi(\mathcal{R}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

We have now determined the Turán density of the entire family of rings. However, computing its value for any single member R_t appears to be difficult. After all, R_2 is just K_4^3 and determining $\pi(K_4^3)$ has been notoriously difficult. A quick observation that one can make is.

Proposition 4.5. *For any positive integers p, q we have* $\pi(R_{pq}) \leq \pi(R_p)$ *. Thus, for all even t, we have* $\pi(R_t) \leq \pi(K_4^3)$.

Proof. Since R_{pq} is contained in the *q*-blowup of R_p , we have $\pi(R_{pq}) \leq \pi(R_p(q)) = \pi(R_p)$. Now suppose *t* is even. Since $R_2 = K_4^3$, we have $\pi(R_t) \leq \pi(R_2) = \pi(K_4^3)$. \Box

Recall that the conjectured value for π (K_4^3) is $\frac{5}{9}$. For the lower bound, Turán's construction $T(n)$ is obtained by partitioning *n* vertices as equally as possible into three sets V_1 , V_2 , V_3 and including as edges all triples of the form, $v_1v_2v_3$, $u_1v_1v_2$, $u_2v_2v_3$, $u_3v_3v_1$ for all u_i , $v_i \in V_i$ (see [Fig. 2\(](#page-6-1)a)). It is straightforward to check that if *T* (*n*) contains *R^t* for some *t*, then *t* must be divisible by 3. Hence, *T* (*n*) contains no R_t when $t \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}$. So we have the following.

Proposition 4.6. *For* $t \equiv 1, 2 \text{ mod } 3, \pi(R_t) \ge \frac{5}{9}$ *.*

So by [Propositions 4.5](#page-5-1) and [4.6,](#page-5-2) if Turán's conjecture is true, then we would have $\pi(R_t) = \frac{5}{9}$ for every even *t* with $t \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}$.

Finally, for odd *t*, the following construction shows that $\pi(R_t)$ is larger than $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$. Let *S*(*n*) be a 3graph on *n* vertices where the vertices are partitioned into three sets V_1 , V_2 , V_3 with sizes $|V_1|$ = $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}n$, $|V_2| = |V_3| = (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6})n$ whose edges are all triples of the form, u_1v_1x , $v_1v_2v_3$ for all u_i , $v_i \in V_i$ and $x \in V_2 \cup V_3$ (see [Fig. 2\(](#page-6-1)b)). It is easy to check that $\lim_{n\to\infty} e(S(n))/\binom{n}{3} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$ and that if $S(n)$ contains R_t then t must be even. Furthermore, we can iterate this construction inside V_2 and V_3 to push the density above $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$ while maintaining the fact that there are no odd rings. So we have the following.

Proposition 4.7. *For odd t*, $\pi(R_t)$ > $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$.

Given the results of this section and [Theorem 2.10,](#page-3-2) it would be interesting to solve the following problem.

Problem 1. Determine $\pi(R_t)$ or $\pi_2(R_t)$ for each fixed value of *t*.

Fig. 2. Constructions for [Propositions 4.6](#page-5-2) and [4.7.](#page-5-3)

5. Concluding remarks

Let *q* be a prime power and let $PG₂(q)$ be $(q+1)$ -graph with vertex set equal to the one dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_{q}^{3} and edges corresponding to the two-dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{F}_{q}^{3} . We call *PG*₂(*q*) the *projective geometry* of dimension 2 over \mathbb{F}_q ; note that *PG*₂(2) is the Fano plane. In [\[7\]](#page-7-1), Keevash also proved the following more general theorem about projective geometries.

Theorem 5.1. $ex_q(PG_2(q)) \leq \frac{n}{2}$.

Furthermore, there is a nearly matching lower bound when *q* is an odd prime power (see [\[11](#page-7-12)[,7\]](#page-7-1)). The proof we present in Section [3](#page-3-0) relies on the fact that there is a family of 3-graphs $\mathcal{R}_{\leq t}$, such that each member $R_i \in \mathcal{R}_{\leq t}$ has the $(2i, i+1)$ -property and $\pi_2(\mathcal{R}_{\leq t}) < \frac{1}{2}$. In fact, our same proof could be used to give a simple proof of [Theorem 5.1](#page-6-2) if there was an affirmative answer to the following question.

Problem 2. Let $k \geq 4$. Does there exist a finite family \mathcal{F}^k of k -graphs such that for each $F \in \mathcal{F}^k$ there exists a positive integer *t* such that *F* has the $(2t, t + 1)$ -property and $\pi_{k-1}(\mathcal{F}^k) < \frac{1}{2}$.

It seems conceivable that obtaining a *k*-graph with the (*l*, *m*) property for some other values of *l* and *m* might give us the same benefit and be easier to obtain; however, this is not the case. On one hand, we must have $l \geq 2(m-1)$ so that Eq. [\(1\)](#page-3-3) holds. On the other hand, when $m \leq \lceil \frac{l}{2} \rceil$ the complete balanced bipartite *k*-graph $B^k(n)$, which has $\delta_{k-1}(B^k(n)) \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, does not contain any subgraph with the (l, m) -property (any subgraph of $B^k(n)$ with *l* vertices must contain an independent set of size $\lceil \frac{l}{2} \rceil$). So we must have $\lceil \frac{l}{2} \rceil < m \le \frac{l}{2} + 1$, which implies that *l* is even and $m = \frac{l}{2} + 1$.

A different problem is the following. Instead of determining the co-degree threshold for a single copy of *H* in *G*, one can ask about the co-degree threshold for $\frac{|V(G)|}{|V(H)|}$ vertex disjoint copies of *H* in *G* (assuming |*V*(*H*)| divides |*V*(*G*)|). This has been referred to as the *tiling* or *factoring* problem and received much attention lately. Interestingly, the co-degree threshold for tiling with K_4^3 and $K_4^3 - e$ have been determined (see [\[9](#page-7-16)[,13\]](#page-7-17)), but the co-degree threshold for a single copy of K_4^3 or $K_4^3 - e$ is still unknown and appears to be difficult. Since the co-degree threshold for a single copy of **F** is known and seems to be much easier than K_4^3 or $K_4^3 - e$, it would be interesting to determine the co-degree threshold for tiling with **F**.

Problem 3. Let *n* be divisible by 7 and let *G* be a 3-graph on *n* vertices. Determine the minimum value *d* such that $\delta_2(G) \geq d$ implies that *G* contains $\frac{n}{7}$ vertex disjoint copies of **F**.

The relationship between the edge density of a hypergraph and its subgraphs with large co-degree is also very intriguing. Even the following simple questions do not seem to have an easy answer. A *k*-graph *H* is said to *cover pairs* if *H* has at least *k* + 1 vertices and every pair of vertices lies in some edge, i.e. $\delta_2(H) > 1$.

Problem 4. What is

$$
\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left\{\frac{e(G)}{\binom{n}{k}}:G\subseteq\binom{[n]}{k},\ G\text{ contains no subgraph that covers pairs}\right\}
$$
?

Since $K_4^3 - e$ covers pairs, for $k = 3$ the answer to [Problem 4](#page-7-18) is certainly no more than $\pi (K_4^3 - e)$, which is known to be at most 0.2871.

Problem 5. Given any positive integer *s*, what is

$$
\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left\{\frac{e(G)}{\binom{n}{k}}: G\subseteq\binom{[n]}{k}, G \text{ contains no subgraph on } s \text{ vertices that covers pairs}\right\}
$$
?

More generally, one may ask

Problem 6. Given positive integers *s*, *t*, what is

$$
\limsup_{n\to\infty}\left\{\frac{e(G)}{\binom{n}{k}}: G\subseteq\binom{[n]}{k}, G \text{ contains no subgraph } H \text{ on } s \text{ vertices with } \delta_2(H)\geq t\right\}
$$
?

References

- [1] L. C[accetta, R. Häggkvist, On minimal digraphs with given girth, in: Proceedings of the Ninth Southeastern Conference on](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0195-6698(13)00107-8/sbref1) Combinatorics, Graph Theory, and Computing (Florida Atlantic Univ., Boca Raton, Fla., 1978), in: Congr. Numer., vol. XXI, Utilitas Math., Winnipeg, Man, 1978, pp. 181–187.
- [2] V. [Chvátal, E. Szemerédi, Short cycles in directed graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 35 \(3\) \(1983\) 323–327.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0195-6698(13)00107-8/sbref2)
- [3] A. [Czygrinow, B. Nagle, A note on codegree problems for hypergraphs, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl. 32 \(2001\) 63–69.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0195-6698(13)00107-8/sbref3)
- [4] D. [de Caen, Z. Furedi, The maximum size of 3-uniform hypergraphs not containing a Fano plane, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0195-6698(13)00107-8/sbref4) 78 (2) (2000) 274–276.
- [5] Er[dős, On extremal problems of graphs and generalized graphs, Israel J. Math. 2 \(1964\) 183–190.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0195-6698(13)00107-8/sbref5)
- [6] Z. F[üredi, M. Simonovits, Triple systems not containing a Fano configuration, Combin. Probab. Comput. 14 \(4\) \(2005\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0195-6698(13)00107-8/sbref6) 467–484.
- [7] P. K[eevash, A hypergraph regularity method for generalized Turán problems, Random Structures Algorithms 34 \(1\) \(2009\)](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0195-6698(13)00107-8/sbref7) 123–164.
- [8] P. [Keevash, Hypergraph Turán problems, in: Surveys in Combinatorics, 2011.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0195-6698(13)00107-8/sbref8)
- [9] P. Keevash, R. Mycroft, A geometric theory for hypergraph matching, August 2011, ArXiv Preprint [arXiv:1108.1757v1.](http://arxiv.org/1108.1757v1)
- [10] P. [Keevash, B. Sudakov, The Turán number of the Fano plane, Combinatorica 25 \(5\) \(2005\) 561–574.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0195-6698(13)00107-8/sbref10)
- [11] P. [Keevash, Y. Zhao, Codegree problems for projective geometries, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 97 \(6\) \(2007\) 919–928.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0195-6698(13)00107-8/sbref11)
- [12] A. Lo, K. Markström, *l*-degree Turán density, October 2012, ArXiv Preprint [arXiv:1210.5726v1.](http://arxiv.org/1210.5726v1)
- [13] A. Lo, K. Markström, Minimum codegree threshold for (*K* 3 ⁴ − *^e*)[-factors, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 120 \(3\) \(2013\) 708–721.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0195-6698(13)00107-8/sbref13)
- [14] D. [Mubayi, The co-degree density of the Fano plane, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 95 \(2\) \(2005\) 333–337.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0195-6698(13)00107-8/sbref14)
- [15] D. [Mubayi, V. Rödl, On the Turán number of triple systems, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 100 \(1\) \(2002\) 136–152.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0195-6698(13)00107-8/sbref15)
- [16] D. [Mubayi, Y. Zhao, Co-degree densities of hypergraphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 114 \(2007\) 1118–1132.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0195-6698(13)00107-8/sbref16)
- [17] V. [Sós, Remarks on the connection of graph theory, finite geometry and block designs, in: Colloquio Internazionale](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0195-6698(13)00107-8/sbref17) sulle Teorie Combinatorie (Roma, 1973), Tomo II, in: Atti dei Convegni Lincei, vol. 17, Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rome, 1976, pp. 223–233.
- [18] P. T[urán, Eine Extremalaufgabe aus der Graphentheorie, Mat. Fiz. Lapok 48 \(1941\) 436–452.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0195-6698(13)00107-8/sbref18)